

Anderson, Colete

From: Orjiako, Oliver
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2015 12:43 PM
To: Euler, Gordon; Alvarez, Jose; Anderson, Colete; O'Donnell, Mary Beth
Subject: FW: GMA Revision proposition

FYI and for index!



CP 16# 0657

From: Patricia [<mailto:pattysproperties@aol.com>]
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2015 12:35 PM
To: Orjiako, Oliver
Subject: GMA Revision proposition

Hello,

I strongly oppose the Alt4 plan. I have had 23 years of real estate sales and worked in rural land development and sales helping people with their land and real estate needs. I was born and raised here. We cannot afford to allow more growth without proper planning. I hope you take this and can use any of it to help us here in Clark co. We need our planning team behind us. I trust our zoning and planning commission will protect our beautiful county.

There are so many things wrong about Alt4. First of all, zoning revises require due diligence in preplanning for all the infrastructure needed to serve the growth and needs of any new proposed development. Schools, roads, local community needs and marketability just to name a few. Let's look at the areas affected by downsizing lot size and the impact it would have on each community. Schools. We are already at full capacity in most high schools. The roads, try driving on Hwy 503 and Main st. in Battle Ground. It's beyond capacity now. Simply put, we all know the cart has to come before the horse. Plan ahead for growth. It is what the planning board is here to do. It is what they are trained to do. Who benefits from Alt4? Certainly not the majority of us. As a Realtor here working with rural land sales I do not see any big demand for rural acre lots. The biggest issue I have is how can a person with no experience in zoning and planning just bring a proposition to the table?

Thank you,
Patricia Bergren
Coldwell Banker United Brokers
360-798-6733
Pattysproperties.com